IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JUAN CARRILLO, Y55916,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Case No. 25-cv-165-JPC
DENNIS LARSON, CROSSROAD)
COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, and PETER)
MULHREN,)
)
Defendants	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

GILBERT, District Judge:

Plaintiff Juan Carrillo, an inmate in the custody of the Illinois Department of Corrections and currently incarcerated at Pinckneyville Correctional Center, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for the denial of adequate medical care for a fractured left clavicle by Dr. Peter Mulhren at Crossroad Community Hospital. (Doc. 1). The Complaint did not survive screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, and the Court dismissed it without prejudice on April 10, 2025. (Doc. 13). Plaintiff was granted leave to file a First Amended Complaint by May 20, 2025. He was warned that the action would be dismissed for failure to comply with a court order and/or for want of prosecution if he failed to do so. Plaintiff was also warned that said dismissal would result in a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (Doc 13).

Plaintiff missed the deadline for filing the First Amended Complaint on May 20, 2025. More than a week has passed since this deadline expired, and the Court has received no communication from him in this case. The Court will not allow this matter to linger indefinitely. This action shall be dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply with the Court's Order

Case 3:25-cv-00165-JPG Document 14 Filed 06/02/25 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #36

(Doc. 13) and/or to prosecute his claims. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b). The dismissal counts as one

of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of § 1915(g).

Disposition

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED with prejudice based on

Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's Order (Doc. 13) to file a First Amended Complaint;

he has failed to prosecute his claims herein. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); Ladien v. Astrachan,

128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court within

thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(a)(1)(A). If Plaintiff does choose to appeal,

he will be liable for the \$605.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the appeal. See

FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Ammons v. Gerlinger, 547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir.

2008); Sloan v. Lesza, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); Lucien, 133 F.3d at 467. He must

list each of the issues he intends to appeal in the notice of appeal. Moreover, if the appeal is found

to be nonmeritorious, Plaintiff may also incur another "strike." A proper and timely motion filed

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R.

APP. P. 4(a)(4). A Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the

entry of judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 2, 2025

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

United States District Judge

2